

Sinclair should not be able to use the public airways to support its political agenda.

Sinclair is an example of media consolidation using its power to unfairly influence elections.

I believe that if Sinclair proceeds its license should be revoked.

In this case we are seeing a clear example of a large media company using the public airways FREE OF CHARGE AND IT IS OBLIGATED TO SERVE THE PUBLIC INTERESTS.

When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve

Thank you.